Class and Section: MIS4173-601 Date: 3/08/2021

## **Assignment: Sprint Review & Retrospective 2**

Group #: 13

Sarah Benton, Lawrence Heath, John Holder, Joseph Messer

SR&R 2 Documentation Grade: **90** SR&R 2 Presentation Grade: **97**

***Presentation graded with COB BCC Rubric by Richard O’Dor***

#### SR&R 2 – Overall

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Content  (Overall Project) | 4- Exceptional  3 -Good  2- Satisfactory  1- Poor  0 -Unacceptable | **Comments:**  You all have done a good job. Please look over our comments and address these areas in your documentation and presentation to improve your solution. Overall, both your presentation and your documentation are good. You are progressing well.  Dr. Kisling and Jarrett Eason (03/08/2021) |

#### SR&R 2 – Documentation

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Requirement** | **Points Possible** | **Points Earned** |
| **Overall Organization** |  |  |
| Title Page | **2** | 2 |
| Table of Contents | **2** | 2 |
| **SR&R 2 Required Documents** |  |  |
| **Project Documents New, Fixes, & Updates** |  |  |
| Any Previous Documentation | **15** | 15 |
| Preliminary Non-functional Requirements | **20** | 17 |
| Any New Documentation | **20** | 20 |
| **Sprint Documents** |  |  |
| Updated Product Backlog | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 2 Backlog | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 2 Burn Down Chart | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 2 Daily SCRUM Meeting Log | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 2 Team Evaluation Document | **7** | 0 |
| **Updated Project Plan** | **6** | 6 |
| **Total possible points** | **100** | 90 |

#### SR&R 2 – Presentation

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Requirement** | **Points Possible** | **Points Earned** |
| **Presentation** |  |  |
| Overall Organization of Presentation | **5** | 5 |
| Preliminary Non-functional Requirements | **20** | 20 |
| Project Updates (please include UCD & CD) | **15** | 12 |
| **Sprint Items** |  |  |
| Updated Product Backlog | **10** | 10 |
| Sprint 2 Backlog | **10** | 10 |
| Sprint 2 Burn Down Chart | **10** | 10 |
| Sprint 2 Potentially Shippable Product Increment | **20** | 20 |
| **Discussion with Class** |  |  |
| Facilitation | **5** | 5 |
| Input from peers | **5** | 5 |
| **Total possible points** | **100** | 97 |

**Comments:**

Presentation:

* Non-functional requirements are good.
* Updates look good (slight edit to context diagram if system is designed to send data back to customer—where is the Email entity).
* PSPI good.

Documentation:

* All documentation is present except for the sprint 2 team evaluation document.
* Consider using documentation backlog template for documented deliverables.
* Non-functional requirements should be separated into the 5 categories.
* Issues with presentation pertain to the documentation as well.
* Why was the updated CD not used in the presentation?